UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,805	09/21/2006	Alfred Gass	98298	4922
²⁴⁶²⁸ WELSH & KA	7590 04/25/200 TZ, LTD	EXAMINER		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			BELLAMY, TAMIKO D	
= =	22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,805	GASS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TAMIKO D. BELLAMY	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	ontombor 2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 September 2006</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	, _					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L	.x parte Quayle, 1900 O.D. 11, 4.	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 5-9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The same declaration to objection to by the Ex	ammor. Noto the attached office	776161761761117776762.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Certified Copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Significant Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/20/06</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. Preliminary amendment dated 9/21/06 has been received and entered. Claims 1-9 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaufort (2003/0067532).

Re claim 1, as depicted in figs. 6, 7A and 7B, Beaufort discloses a test device comprising a means for supplying ink from the ink cartridge (110) to a test print surface (130) and also supplying ink off of the test surface (e.g., revealing the test patch ('70) on the test surface (130) visible through a window (234) to a user (36)) (Pg. 3, pars. 32-34). While Beaufort does not specifically disclose a strip of paper, Beaufort discloses various test surfaces may me used for receiving the test print toner or ink and the test surfaces are provided only for the test print operation (See Pg. 3, par. 34). This teaching clearly infers and/or suggests the use of a strip of paper.

Re claim 4, as depicted in fig. 7A, Beaufort discloses positioning the ink cartridge (110) above the strip of paper (e.g., test printing surface 130) (Pg. 1, pars. 10-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 3, and 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAMIKO D. BELLAMY whose telephone number is (571)272-2190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tamiko Bellamy /TB/ April 14, 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/593,805 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856